One Nation, One Election: Evaluating the Feasibility, Benefits, and Challenges of Synchronizing Electoral Processes in India

Nabee Khan[1] and Venudhar Routiya[2,*]

[1]Department of Law, D.K. Government PG College, Balodabazar, India and [2]School of Studies in Law, Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University, Raipur, India

Email of corresponding author: venucgvs@gmail.com

Abstract: The concept of "One Nation, One Election" proposes a unified electoral process to streamline elections for the Lok Sabha, state legislatures, and local bodies. This research paper examines the feasibility, potential benefits, and challenges of implementing synchronized elections in India. By analyzing past practices, comparing international case studies, and assessing the policy's impact on governance, administration, and public engagement, the paper provides a comprehensive overview of the implications of this proposal. The findings suggest that while there are significant advantages, such as reduced costs and enhanced governance efficiency, there are also considerable hurdles, including the need for constitutional amendments and addressing regional disparities. The paper concludes with suggestions for a phased implementation and strategies to overcome the identified challenges.

Keywords: One Nation; One Election; Electoral Reform; India; Lok Sabha; State Legislatures; Local Bodies; Governance Efficiency; Constitutional Amendments; Electoral Costs; Voter Engagement.

INTRODUCTION

From 1951-52 to 1967, India witnessed simultaneous elections for the Lok Sabha and state legislative assemblies, maintaining a coordinated electoral cycle across the country. This synchronization was disrupted post-1967, leading to a fragmented electoral process where elections have since been held almost every year and at varying intervals within a single year. This situation has resulted in significant financial and administrative burdens on the government, including the extensive deployment of security forces and election officials for prolonged periods. Additionally, the prolonged enforcement of the Model Code of Conduct (MCC) during elections has caused substantial interruptions to development work and governance activities.

The Law Commission of India, in its 170th report on electoral reforms, highlighted the inefficiencies of the current electoral cycle and suggested that the continuous cycle of elections should be curtailed. The report proposed a return to synchronized elections for the Lok Sabha and all state assemblies every five years, stating that separate elections for individual state assemblies should be an exception rather than the rule. The Commission's recommendation aimed to restore the previous scenario where all major elections were conducted simultaneously, thereby reducing the financial and administrative strain on the government and ensuring a more efficient electoral process.

In December 2015, the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Personnel, Public Grievances, Law, and Justice presented its 79th report, which examined the feasibility of conducting simultaneous elections. The Committee analyzed the challenges posed by frequent elections, such as the disruption of governance and policy implementation due to the repetitive imposition of the MCC. It recommended a phased approach to reintroduce simultaneous elections, starting with a few states and gradually expanding to cover the entire country. The Committee suggested this strategy to balance the logistical challenges and legal complexities involved in synchronizing elections at the national and state levels.

Given the complexities and national significance of this issue, the Government of India established a high-level committee (HLC) in 2023 to explore the feasibility and framework for implementing simultaneous elections across the country. The committee, chaired by former President Ram Nath Kovind, was tasked with examining the constitutional and legal provisions required to enable such a transition. The committee's report, submitted to the President of

India in early 2024, recommended a phased implementation of simultaneous elections, starting with the Lok Sabha and state assemblies, followed by local body elections within a specified timeframe. The report also emphasized the need for a constitutional amendment to facilitate these changes.

The Modi government has endorsed the 'One Nation, One Election' proposal, advocating for the alignment of Lok Sabha and state assembly polls. This proposal, which requires significant constitutional amendments, aims to streamline the electoral process, reduce the burden on the exchequer, and minimize disruptions to governance caused by frequent elections. The Union Cabinet approved the proposal based on the committee's recommendations, and a bill to this effect is expected to be introduced in Parliament during the upcoming winter session. If implemented, this reform could mark a significant shift in India's electoral landscape, with the potential to enhance governance and administrative efficiency.

KEY RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE COMMITTEE

The high-level committee (HLC), established to explore the feasibility of implementing simultaneous elections in India, proposed a structured two-phase approach for this significant electoral reform:

Phase 1: Simultaneous Elections for the Lok Sabha and State Legislative Assemblies

The committee recommended conducting elections for the Lok Sabha and all state legislative assemblies simultaneously. This phase would require synchronizing the electoral cycles of all states with the general elections. To achieve this, the terms of some state assemblies may need to be curtailed or extended, necessitating constitutional amendments and political consensus to align the tenure of legislative bodies across the country.

Phase 2: Elections for Municipalities and Panchayats Within 100 Days of General Elections

In the second phase, the committee suggested holding elections for local bodies such as municipalities and panchayats within 100 days following the general elections. This recommendation aims to streamline the electoral process across different levels of government, reducing the frequency of elections and administrative burdens associated with conducting separate polls.

In addition to these phased elections, the committee proposed several structural and procedural reforms to ensure the effective implementation of the 'One Nation, One Election' initiative:

Common Voter ID Card and Electoral Roll

The committee recommended the introduction of a common voter ID card and a unified electoral roll for all elections, from the national to the local level. This would simplify voter registration and reduce discrepancies in voter lists across different elections.

Measures for Addressing Situations Like Hung House or No-Confidence Motions

Recognizing the complexities posed by situations such as a hung House or a successful no-confidence motion, the committee suggested provisions for fixed-term legislatures. In the event of a no-confidence motion, the committee recommended an alternative government be formed without dissolving the House, akin to the systems followed in Germany and other countries. This would ensure stability and continuity of governance, even in cases of political instability.

International Perspective

The committee conducted a comparative study of electoral systems in various countries, including South Africa, Sweden, Belgium, Germany, Indonesia, and the Philippines. These nations have adopted different models of simultaneous elections to enhance electoral efficiency and governance stability. However, the committee acknowledged that India, with its diverse socio-political landscape and federal structure, requires a customized approach.

Germany and Sweden

These countries follow a system where elections are conducted simultaneously at various levels of government, ensuring political stability and minimizing electoral fatigue. The committee studied these models to understand how such systems handle the complexities of coalition governments and proportional representation.

Indonesia and the Philippines

In these countries, simultaneous elections are conducted to consolidate democratic processes and reduce the costs and administrative burden of frequent elections. The committee noted the logistical challenges and the robust legal frameworks required to implement such a system successfully.

While these international examples provided valuable insights, the committee concluded that India must devise a system tailored to its unique requirements, considering the size, diversity, and political dynamics of the country. The proposed model aims to address these challenges while ensuring that the core democratic values and federal principles of the Indian Constitution are upheld.

OBJECTIVES OF RESEARCH

The objective of analyzing the concept of "One Nation, One Election" is to evaluate the feasibility, benefits, and challenges associated with conducting simultaneous elections for the Lok Sabha (House of the People) and State Legislative Assemblies in India. This proposal, aimed at streamlining the electoral process, seeks to address multiple concerns related to governance, electoral fatigue, and financial expenditure. The specific objectives include:

Understanding Historical Context and Rationale

Historically, India conducted simultaneous elections until 1967. However, with the dissolution of certain State Legislative Assemblies and the Lok Sabha, the electoral cycles became misaligned1. The objective here is to understand the reasons behind the initial shift away from simultaneous elections and the current rationale for revisiting the concept, particularly in the context of reducing the costs and disruption caused by frequent elections.

Evaluating Potential Benefits

The analysis aims to highlight the benefits such as cost reduction, administrative efficiency, and political stability. For instance, the Law Commission's 170th Report suggested that simultaneous elections could save public money, reduce the burden on administrative and security forces, and ensure timely implementation of policies.

Identifying Challenges and Concerns

Despite its potential advantages, the proposal faces significant challenges, such as the logistical difficulties of coordinating elections across a diverse and populous nation, the need for constitutional amendments, and the political consensus required for its implementation.

Comparing with Global Practices

The objective includes comparing India's electoral system with countries that conduct simultaneous elections, such as Sweden and South Africa, to assess the applicability of similar systems in India's unique political and federal landscape.

Assessing Feasibility and Implementation

The study aims to explore the necessary constitutional amendments, the role of the Election Commission, and possible mechanisms to address issues like mid-term dissolutions of state assemblies or the Lok Sabha .

Analyzing Impact on Governance and Public Participation

The objective is to analyze how synchronized elections might influence governance efficiency, voter turnout, and democratic engagement. This involves evaluating whether synchronized elections would allow governments to focus more on policy implementation and less on populist measures driven by the continuous election cycle.

SIGNIFICANCE OF RESEARCH

Understanding the impact of synchronized elections on governance, administration, and public participation is crucial for several reasons:

Impact on Governance

Frequent elections disrupt the regular functioning of governments, leading to a focus on short-term electoral gains over long-term policy planning. Synchronized elections would enable governments to implement policies without the constant pressure of upcoming elections.

Administrative Efficiency and Cost Reduction

Conducting elections simultaneously would lead to significant savings in public funds spent on

election management, deployment of security personnel, and administrative expenses. A 2017 NITI Aayog report estimated that the cost of conducting elections could be reduced significantly with simultaneous elections.

Reduction in Electoral Fatigue

Multiple elections lead to voter fatigue and reduced engagement in the electoral process. By reducing the frequency of elections, synchronized elections could enhance voter participation and interest.

Enhanced Public Participation

With a clear and predictable electoral calendar, public participation is likely to increase. The alignment of election schedules may lead to a more informed electorate and higher voter turnout, strengthening the democratic process.

Political Stability and Policy Continuity

Governments would have uninterrupted tenures to focus on governance and policy implementation without the constant threat of electoral defeats, contributing to political stability and effective governance.

Challenges to Democratic Representation

However, concerns exist that national issues may overshadow local and regional issues in synchronized elections, potentially undermining the federal structure of India's democracy.

This analysis aims to contribute to the on-going discourse on electoral reforms in India by providing a nuanced understanding of the potential impact of "One Nation, One Election" on the country's democratic processes.

BENEFITS OF ONE NATION, ONE ELECTION

Implementing "One Nation, One Election" (ONOE) in India could offer numerous advantages, ranging from financial savings to enhanced governance and democratic participation. Below is a detailed analysis of the key benefits:

1. Reduction in Electoral Costs

Simultaneous elections would significantly reduce the financial burden on the government by consolidating the costs associated with conducting multiple elections at different times. This includes savings on expenses for:

Election Management: Currently, conducting separate elections involves repeated expenditure on logistics, such as setting up polling stations, transportation of personnel and equipment, and procurement of election materials like Electronic Voting Machines (EVMs) and Voter Verified Paper Audit Trail (VVPAT) machines. Conducting elections once every five years for both central and state governments would lead to economies of scale.

Security Arrangements: Each election cycle requires extensive deployment of security forces to ensure peaceful and fair polling. This involves high costs in terms of personnel, equipment, and logistics. With synchronized elections, the deployment would be needed only once in five years, substantially reducing the financial strain on security budgets.

Campaign Expenditure: Political parties spend large sums on campaigning during elections. Holding multiple elections throughout the year or in different states increases the cost burden on parties. A single election cycle would mean reduced spending on advertisements, rallies, and other campaign activities.

2. Administrative Efficiency

Synchronized elections would streamline the administrative functions of the Election Commission of India (ECI) and reduce the strain on resources:

Coordinated Efforts: The ECI would be able to coordinate a single, comprehensive effort to conduct elections, ensuring that resources such as polling officers, ballot units, and counting staff are optimally utilized. This would lead to a more organized and efficient electoral process.

Reduced Resource Deployment: Repeated elections require the deployment of government machinery, including teachers, civil servants, and law enforcement, disrupting their regular duties. Simultaneous elections would minimize such disruptions by concentrating these activities into one electoral event every five years.

Improved Law and Order Management: The requirement for security personnel would be limited to a single deployment, reducing the administrative complexity of organizing security across multiple elections over time.

3. Enhanced Governance

Frequent elections can lead to policy paralysis, as governments tend to avoid making tough decisions that could be unpopular with voters in the short term. ONOE could mitigate this issue in several ways:

Long-term Planning: With a fixed tenure of uninterrupted governance, elected officials would be able to focus on long-term policies and developmental projects without the constant pressure of upcoming elections. This stability can lead to better governance and implementation of comprehensive policies.

Reduced Populism: Governments would have fewer incentives to focus on populist measures intended to garner short-term electoral gains. Instead, they could pursue more substantive policy goals that benefit the country in the long run.

Improved Accountability: Governments would be held accountable for their performance over a full five-year term rather than being judged on short-term achievements. This could encourage more responsible governance and better alignment with the electorate's expectations.

4. Increased Voter Turnout

It is hypothesized that synchronized elections might lead to higher voter participation:

Voter Convenience: Citizens would have to vote only once for both the national and state governments, reducing the inconvenience and fatigue associated with multiple trips to the polling booth. This could potentially increase voter turnout, as people are more likely to participate in a single, comprehensive electoral process.

Unified Electoral Engagement: Voters would engage with the electoral process in a more consolidated manner, focusing on a broader spectrum of issues that encompass both national and state concerns. This might lead to a more informed and active electorate.

Reduced Voter Apathy: Frequent elections can lead to voter fatigue and disinterest, particularly in non-general elections. By consolidating all elections into one, there is a higher chance of rekindling interest and enthusiasm among voters, potentially leading to higher participation rates.

Overall, "One Nation, One Election" promises several benefits that could strengthen India's democratic framework. However, it also poses challenges related to implementation, constitutional amendments, and political consensus, which need to be carefully addressed.

CHALLENGES AND CRITICISMS OF ONE NATION, ONE ELECTION

While the idea of "One Nation, One Election" (ONOE) offers several benefits, it also faces significant challenges and criticisms. These are outlined in detail below:

1. Constitutional and Legal Issues

Implementing ONOE would require several constitutional amendments and legal changes, which could be complex and contentious:

Amendments to Multiple Articles: Articles 83, 85, 172, 174, and 356 of the Indian Constitution would need to be amended to align the terms of the Lok Sabha and State Legislative Assemblies. Article 83 deals with the duration of the Lok Sabha, and Article 172 with the duration of State Assemblies. Articles 85 and 174 concern the summoning and proroguing of the Houses, while Article 356 pertains to President's Rule, which could be used to dissolve a state assembly and conduct elections in exceptional circumstances.

Conflict with Federalism: India's federal structure provides significant autonomy to states. Imposing a uniform election schedule could be seen as undermining this autonomy, leading to potential conflicts between the central and state governments.

Judicial Scrutiny: Any changes to the electoral process and timing could face judicial scrutiny. The judiciary might intervene if the amendments are perceived to infringe on the basic structure of the Constitution, such as the federal principle or the democratic process.

2. Political Dynamics

Synchronized elections could have significant implications for India's political landscape:

Impact on Regional Parties: National issues might dominate the electoral discourse, marginalizing regional parties and their specific agendas. This could alter the political equilibrium in favor of national parties, reducing the political space for regional voices that play a crucial role in India's diverse polity.

Electoral Advantages: National parties could leverage the synchronized elections to use their larger organizational structure and resources to overshadow regional parties, particularly in states where the national party has a weaker presence.

Polarization: The nationalization of elections could lead to greater political polarization, as parties might focus more on national narratives at the expense of local issues, leading to a homogenization of political discourse.

3. Regional Disparities

Different states have unique political, social, and economic challenges that might be overshadowed by a uniform election cycle:

Overlooking Local Issues: A uniform election cycle could lead to the overshadowing of local and regional issues by national agendas. For instance, states facing agrarian distress or unemployment might find their issues sidelined by national security or foreign policy discussions.

Differing Political Climates: States often experience different political climates and voter sentiments, influenced by local developments and leaders. A uniform election cycle might not reflect these unique dynamics, potentially distorting the democratic process.

Impact on Governance: If a state government loses majority support mid-term, it might have to continue functioning in a weakened capacity until the next synchronized election, impacting governance and stability in that state.

4. Implementation Challenges

Coordinating simultaneous elections across all levels of government would involve significant logistical and administrative hurdles:

Alignment of Electoral Rolls: Ensuring that all electoral rolls are updated and aligned across different levels of government for simultaneous elections would be a massive administrative task, requiring meticulous planning and coordination.

Availability of Security Forces: Conducting elections simultaneously across the country would require a vast deployment of security personnel to ensure free and fair polling. This would place a considerable strain on India's security apparatus, given the scale of the country and its diverse security challenges.

Logistical Complexity: The logistical challenges of conducting simultaneous elections include the procurement and distribution of Electronic Voting Machines (EVMs), training of electoral staff, and management of polling stations across diverse geographic and climatic conditions.

Election Fatigue: While the idea aims to reduce election fatigue among voters and political parties by minimizing the frequency of elections, the sheer scale and intensity of a single, nationwide election could lead to different forms of voter fatigue and confusion.

CASE STUDIES AND COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF SIMULTANEOUS ELECTIONS

Case Studies

1. South Africa

South Africa conducts simultaneous elections for its national and provincial legislatures. This practice has been in place since the country's first democratic elections in 1994.

Administrative Mechanisms: South Africa's experience demonstrates that simultaneous elections can be effectively managed with strong administrative frameworks. The Independent Electoral Commission (IEC) is responsible for overseeing both national and provincial elections, ensuring that the process is streamlined and efficient.

Benefits: The synchronization of elections has allowed South Africa to reduce the frequency of elections, thereby lowering costs and administrative burdens. It also simplifies the electoral process for voters, who only need to go to the polls once for multiple elections.

Challenges: However, the country has faced challenges related to the diverse political landscape across provinces. Different provinces have varying political dynamics and issues, which can sometimes be overshadowed by national elections.

2. Indonesia

Indonesia holds simultaneous elections for its national, provincial, and municipal legislatures. The practice began in 2019, aiming to streamline the electoral process and reduce costs.

Administrative Efficiency: Indonesia's shift to simultaneous elections was intended to enhance administrative efficiency and reduce electoral costs. The General Elections Commission (KPU) manages these elections, coordinating the logistics for a vast and diverse electorate.

Benefits: This approach has led to cost savings and has simplified the electoral calendar. It has also allowed for more coherent political planning and policy implementation, as the government is not frequently distracted by election cycles.

Challenges: Despite the benefits, Indonesia has encountered issues with logistical coordination, including managing the sheer volume of ballots and ensuring that all voters are accurately registered and informed about the elections.

Comparative Analysis

1. Germany

Germany conducts elections for its federal Bundestag (national parliament) and Landtag (state parliament) on different schedules, but the country has a well-established system of synchronized elections for European Parliament elections and municipal elections.

Administrative Benefits: Germany's approach to synchronized elections for certain levels has demonstrated reduced administrative burdens and streamlined election processes. The well-coordinated system benefits from a high degree of efficiency and reduced costs for conducting multiple types of elections.

Political Stability: The synchronization of European and municipal elections with federal elections provides voters with a coherent electoral experience and contributes to political stability by aligning different levels of governance with a common electoral calendar.

2. Sweden

Sweden conducts simultaneous elections for the Riksdag (national parliament) and municipal and regional councils every four years. This practice has been in place for decades.

Administrative Efficiency: Sweden's system is noted for its administrative efficiency, with the Swedish Election Authority handling all levels of elections. This coordination reduces the complexity and costs associated with conducting separate elections.

Political Stability: The synchronization of elections helps maintain political stability and ensures that local and regional issues are addressed within the context of a stable national governance framework.

India's Unique Challenges

While the experiences of countries like South Africa, Indonesia, Germany, and Sweden offer valuable insights, India's diverse and complex federal structure presents unique challenges:

Federal Structure: India's federal arrangement with significant powers vested in state governments may face friction with the uniform election cycle. The diverse political, social, and economic issues across states require careful consideration to ensure that local issues are adequately addressed.

Administrative Complexity: Managing simultaneous elections in a country as vast and diverse as India involves significant logistical and administrative challenges. Ensuring alignment of electoral rolls, deployment of security forces, and coordination across multiple levels of government requires a robust infrastructure.

Political Dynamics: India's multi-party system and regional political diversity could lead to imbalances in representation if a uniform election schedule does not adequately reflect local issues and electoral dynamics.

Overall, while synchronized elections have shown benefits in various countries, adapting these systems to fit India's unique context requires careful planning and robust administrative mechanisms to address potential challenges and ensure a smooth electoral process.

POLICY IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF "ONE NATION, ONE ELECTION

Impact on Governance

Implementing "One Nation, One Election" could significantly affect governance by providing more stability and continuity in administration. The key aspects of this impact include:

Consistency in Governance: Synchronized elections would minimize the frequent interruptions caused by multiple election cycles. With fewer elections, the government would have extended periods of stability, allowing it to focus on long-term policy implementation rather than short-term electoral concerns. This continuity can lead to more coherent and consistent governance, as elected officials are less likely to be preoccupied with upcoming elections and more able to address complex issues without the distraction of imminent polls.

Enhanced Policy Focus: Reduced electoral disruptions can enable governments to concentrate on substantial policy measures and reforms. When election cycles are aligned, the political environment becomes less volatile, allowing for more sustained efforts towards developmental and legislative goals. This could result in more effective governance and improved policy outcomes over time.

Economic Impact

The economic implications of synchronizing elections can be considerable:

Cost Savings: Conducting elections simultaneously can lead to significant cost reductions. The expenses associated with running multiple elections—such as the costs of setting up polling stations, printing ballots, and deploying security forces—are considerable. By consolidating elections into a single process, both the government and political parties could save substantial amounts of money. This financial efficiency can redirect resources from electoral expenses to more productive uses, such as infrastructure development, health, and education.

Resource Allocation: Savings from reduced election costs could free up resources for developmental activities. Governments would have more funds available for public services and infrastructure projects, potentially leading to enhanced economic growth and improved quality of life for citizens. Political parties, too, would benefit from reduced campaign expenses, allowing them to allocate funds to policy research and voter engagement activities.

Social Impact

The social consequences of synchronized elections also warrant consideration:

Voter Engagement: A single, comprehensive electoral process may increase voter participation by simplifying the voting process. Citizens would be more likely to engage in the electoral process if they could cast their votes in one go for various levels of government. This could potentially lead to higher voter turnout and greater democratic engagement.

Local Issues and Regional Representation: While synchronized elections may streamline the process and improve engagement, there are concerns that they could marginalize local issues and diminish regional representation. By focusing on national elections and broader issues, local and state-specific concerns might receive less attention. This could potentially overshadow regional interests and reduce the effectiveness of local governance. Balancing national and local priorities would be crucial to ensure that all issues are adequately addressed within a unified electoral framework.

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

Conclusion

The "One Nation, One Election" proposal aims to consolidate various electoral processes into a single, unified framework. This initiative has the potential to streamline governance, reduce costs, and enhance voter engagement by aligning national and regional elections. However, it also presents significant challenges, including constitutional amendments, political dynamics, and the risk of marginalizing local issues.

Benefits:

1. Reduction in Electoral Costs: By consolidating elections, the financial burden on both the government and political parties could be significantly reduced.

2. Administrative Efficiency: Fewer election cycles would simplify administrative processes and reduce the strain on security and other resources.

3. Enhanced Governance: Continuous electoral cycles often lead to policy paralysis. Synchronized elections could allow for more stable and effective governance.

4. Increased Voter Turnout: A single comprehensive electoral process might boost voter participation by simplifying the voting procedure.

Challenges:

1. Constitutional and Legal Issues: Implementing this system would require extensive amendments to the Indian Constitution and changes in existing laws.

2. Political Dynamics: The proposal could potentially benefit national parties over regional ones, impacting the political balance.

3. Regional Disparities: A uniform election cycle may not adequately address the diverse political and social issues of different states.

4. Implementation Challenges: Coordinating simultaneous elections involves significant logistical and administrative complexities.

Suggestions

1. Gradual Implementation: Start with a phased approach to test the feasibility and address any issues before full-scale implementation. Begin with aligning elections at the state level before extending to local bodies.

2. Constitutional Reforms: Undertake a comprehensive review of constitutional and legal provisions to facilitate necessary amendments. Engage with legal experts and stakeholders to ensure a smooth transition.

3. Political Consensus: Foster dialogue among political parties and regional leaders to build consensus and address concerns related to political dynamics and regional representation.

4. Administrative Readiness: Develop robust administrative frameworks and training programs to prepare for the logistical challenges of synchronized elections. Ensure the readiness of electoral rolls and security arrangements.

5. Public Awareness: Implement a public awareness campaign to educate voters about the benefits and implications of synchronized elections. This will help in garnering public support and ensuring a smooth transition.

6. Monitoring and Evaluation: Establish mechanisms for monitoring and evaluating the impact of synchronized elections. Collect data on governance, cost savings, and voter participation to assess the effectiveness and make necessary adjustments.

By addressing these challenges and considering the suggested measures, the implementation of "One Nation, One Election" could lead to a more efficient and effective electoral system, benefiting governance and democracy in India.

REFERENCES

[1]   Bhattacharya, H. (2017). Electoral Reforms in India: An Overview. New Delhi: SAGE Publications.

[2]   Chakrabarty, B. (2018). Governance Reforms in India: A Study of the 'One Nation, One Election' Proposal. New Delhi: Orient Blackswan.

[3]   Election Commission of India. (2020). Report on Simultaneous Elections: A Feasibility Study. New Delhi: ECI.

[4]   Gill, G. S. (2019). The Politics of Simultaneous Elections in India. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.

[5]   Jain, M. P. (2020). Indian Constitutional Law. 9th Edition. Gurgaon: LexisNexis.

[6]   Kashyap, S. (2018). Constitutional Amendments and Electoral Reforms in India. New Delhi: Universal Law Publishing.

[7]   Law Commission of India. (2018). 79th Report on Simultaneous Elections. New Delhi: Government of India.

[8]   Mehta, P. B., & Roy, P. (2019). Democracy in India: Challenges and Opportunities. New Delhi: Viking.

[9]   Singh, S., & Pathak, V. (2021). Electoral Dynamics and Party System in India. New Delhi: Cambridge University Press.

[10]   Yadav, Y. (2017). Electoral Politics in India: Resilience and Realignment. New Delhi: SAGE Publications.